Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28

Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2011-09-16

Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-04-30

Date of Last Revision: 2012-04-30

Agency: 005 - Department of Agriculture **Bureau:** 05 - Departmental Management

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: Corporate Financial Management Systems (CFMS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 005-000000062

Section B: Investment Detail

1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

CFMS is comprised of a core federal financial management system, the main-frame based Foundation Financial Information System (FFIS), and various feeder system and data warehouse components, and represents USDA s current corporate-wide solution for financial management reform and systems integration. Although CFMS contributes to USDA s mission and strategic goals by enabling the Department to meet its fiduciary responsibilities, including accountability for U.S. tax dollars, and by supporting sound financial and program management decision-making through the quality, integrity, timeliness, and availability of financial information, it consists of aging technology that is no longer compatible with the USDA or government-wide financial systems arena. In addition, FFIS is no longer vendor-supported resulting in the sole burden on USDA to maintain Financial Systems Integration Office/Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (FSIO/JFMIP) compliance.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

The ACFO-FS conducted an Operational Analysis (OA) of CFMS in 2002 in accordance with

guidance from the USDA IT CPIC Guide as it applies to the steady state phase, which resulted in an on-going program to renovate the administrative and financial legacy systems, including conducting an Alternatives Analysis (AA) in 2005 at which point it was decided to proceed with planning for and acquiring the selected alternative (i.e., FMMI) to replace CFMS. An OA completed in July 2007, although indicating that CFMS continues to meet performance goals and obtain relatively high user satisfaction rates, validates the decision to replace CFMS due to documented technology and functionality gaps, non-compliance issues, and process inefficiencies. USDA requests funding to support CFMS steady state operations for the budget year, including maintenance of the system for FSIO and legislative requirements compliance. Plans are currently underway to eliminate 1-3 feeder systems and integrate the functionality into CFMS; enabling increased operational efficiency until CFMS is replaced by FMMI at the end of FY2012 and fully retired in FY2013.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

Since CFMS will be retired by FY 2013, all changes to the system have been frozen.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Since CFMS will be retired by FY 2013, no new actions have been taken. Efforts continue to maintain the systems as it converts to the new financial system.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

1996-08-30

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

| Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | PY-1 & Prior | PY 2011 | CY 2012 | BY 2013 | | | | | |
| Planning Costs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs: | \$78.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs: | \$12.7 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE): | \$90.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| O & M Costs: | \$238.2 | \$26.3 | \$17.5 | \$14.0 | | | | | |
| O & M Govt. FTEs: | \$59.8 | \$6.7 | \$1.2 | \$2.9 | | | | | |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE): | \$298.0 | \$33.0 | \$18.7 | \$16.9 | | | | | |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE): | \$388.7 | \$33.0 | \$18.7 | \$16.9 | | | | | |
| Total Govt. FTE costs: | \$72.5 | \$6.7 | \$1.2 | \$2.9 | | | | | |
| # of FTE rep by costs: | 425 | 22 | 22 | 22 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$) | | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%) | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | | | |

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

| Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contract Type | EVM Required | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | IDV Agency ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate Contract Value (\$M) | Туре | PBSA ? | Effective Date | Actual or Expected End Date |
| Awarded | 1205 | AG3142K0701 41 | AG3142B07001 3 | 1205 | | | | | | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why: CFMS is a steady-state investment and does not use DME funding.

Page 5 / 7 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-04-30 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

| Section B: Project Exec | cution Data | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table II.B.1 Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Project ID | | Project Description | ; | Project Start Date | Project Completion Date | | Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M) | | |
| | | NONE | | | | | | | | |
| Activity Summary | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M) | End Point Schedule Variance (in days) | End Point Schedule Variance (%) | Cost Variance (\$M) | Cost Variance (%) | Total Planned Cost (\$M) | Count of Activities | | |
| NONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key Deliverables | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion Date | Projected Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Duration (in days) | Schedule Variance (in days) | Schedule Variance (%) | | |

NONE

Page 6 / 7 of Section300 Exhibit 300 (2011) Date of Last Revision: 2012-04-30

Section C: Operational Data

| Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--|
| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency | |
| System Availability | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 98.000000 | 98.000000 | 98.000000 | 98.000000 | Monthly | |
| Customer Satisfaction | Percentage | Customer Results - Customer Benefit | Over target | 95.000000 | 95.000000 | 86.000000 | 95.000000 | Semi-Annual | |
| Clean Audit Opinion (Unqualified Audit Opinion) | Percentage | Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services | Over target | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | Semi-Annual | |
| System software and hardware availability | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 98.000000 | 98.000000 | 98.000000 | 98.00000 | Quarterly | |
| Telecom Response performance | Percentage | Technology - Efficiency | Over target | 99.500000 | 99.500000 | 99.500000 | 99.500000 | Quarterly | |